Case 5:11-cr-00704-RMW Document 12 Filed 10/30/12 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>11-cr-00704-RMW</u>
V.	
ERNESTO NOGUEDA-PINO , Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Deform Act. 19 IJ S.C. 8 21/12	(f) a detention bearing was hald on October 20, 2012
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31420	
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Diana Garrido A	AFFD. The Officed States was represented by Assistant 0.5.
Attorney A. Ursinis . PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted	
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,	
whichever is later.	conviction of the resource of the person from imprisonment,
	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant	
has committed an offense	,
A for which a maximum term of imprisonm	ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq	
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm	n during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	unity.
No presumption applies.	
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	FILEU
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient ev	vidence to rebut the applicable presumption [s], and he
therefore will be ordered detained.	OCT 3 0 2012
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebu	ut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	OLEDY IIS, DISTINO THE CODNIA
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPI	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF STATE OF
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, ANI	NOR
	evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REA	
	18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	ritten findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge	
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons await	iting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private co	insultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in council n with a court proceeding.	
Λ	
126/12	4
Dated: $\sqrt{30}$	y ym
	DR. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge	

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____